



Committee: LICENSING REGULATORY COMMITTEE

Date: THURSDAY, 26 NOVEMBER 2015

Venue: LANCASTER TOWN HALL

Time: 1.00 P.M.

AGENDA

1. **Apologies for Absence**

2. Minutes

Minutes of the meeting held on 15 October 2015 (previously circulated).

3. Items of Urgent Business authorised by the Chairman

4. **Declarations of Interest**

To receive declarations by Members of interests in respect of items on this Agenda.

Members are reminded that, in accordance with the Localism Act 2011, they are required to declare any disclosable pecuniary interests which have not already been declared in the Council's Register of Interests. (It is a criminal offence not to declare a disclosable pecuniary interest either in the Register or at the meeting.)

Whilst not a legal requirement, in accordance with Council Procedure Rule 10 and in the interests of clarity and transparency, Members should declare any disclosable pecuniary interests which they have already declared in the Register, at this point in the meeting.

In accordance with Part B, Section 2 of the Code of Conduct, Members are required to declare the existence and nature of any other interests as defined in paragraphs 8(1) or 9(2) of the Code of Conduct.

Matters for Decision

5. Written Warnings - Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Drivers and Private Hire Operators (Pages 1 - 21)

Report of the Chief Officer (Governance)

6. **Review of Arrangements for Licensing Surgeries** (Pages 22 - 28)

Report of the Chief Executive

7. Child Sexual Exploitation (CSE) Training for Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Drivers (Pages 29 - 34)

Report of the Licensing Manager

ADMINISTRATIVE ARRANGEMENTS

(i) Membership

Councillors Margaret Pattison (Chairman), Terrie Metcalfe (Vice-Chairman), Charlie Edwards, Andrew Gardiner, Mel Guilding, Tim Hamilton-Cox, Colin Hartley, Rebecca Novell and Robert Redfern

(ii) Substitute Membership

Councillors Sam Armstrong, Claire Cozler, Sheila Denwood, Andrew Kay, Roger Mace and John Wild

(iii) Queries regarding this Agenda

Please contact Jane Glenton, Democratic Services - telephone (01524) 582068, or email jglenton@lancaster.gov.uk.

(iv) Changes to Membership, substitutions or apologies

Please contact Democratic Support, telephone (01524) 582170, or email <u>democraticsupport@lancaster.gov.uk</u>.

MARK CULLINAN, CHIEF EXECUTIVE, TOWN HALL, DALTON SQUARE, LANCASTER, LA1 1PJ

Published on Wednesday, 18 November 2015.

LICENSING REGULATORY COMMITTEE

Written Warnings – Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Drivers and Private Hire Operators 26th November 2015

Report of the Chief Officer (Governance)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To enable Members to consider a referral from the previous meeting.

This report is public

RECOMMENDATION

- (1) That a new paragraph 7 be included in the Licensing Enforcement Policy, as follows, with subsequent paragraphs re-numbered:
 - 7 Warning letters (Hackney carriage and private hire drivers and private hire operators)

A warning letter will remain on file for an indefinite period but it will not normally be referred to in any subsequent report to the Licensing Regulatory Committee if a period of 3 years has lapsed since it was issued and no other warning letter was issued within that period, unless there are exceptional circumstances.

For example, if a warning letter was issued in June 2013 and then no further warning letters are issued until August 2016, the warning letter issued in 2013 would not be referred to.

However, if a warning letter was issued in 2013, a further warning letter in 2014 and then a warning letter in 2015, all 3 warning letters would be referred to in any subsequent report to the Licensing Regulatory Committee to show a pattern of behaviour. If a person uses previous good character as a defence before the Committee, and refers to an earlier period during which one or more warning letters had been issued but omitted from the report, those warning letters would then be disclosed to Members for their consideration."

1.0 Introduction

1.1 At its meeting on the 15th October 2015, the Committee considered a report on the status and implications of a written warning issued to a hackney carriage or private hire driver or private hire operator. A copy of the report and the minute is at Appendix 1. This report enables members to consider the two proposals tabled at the meeting and referred to in the minute.

1.2 A copy of the current Licensing Enforcement Policy is at Appendix 2 for ease of reference.

2.0 **Proposal Details**

2.1 The first proposal was that the following (based on the content of paragraph 1.8 in the report of the 15th October) be appended to paragraph 6.1(c) of the Licensing Enforcement Policy:

"A warning letter will_remain on file for an indefinite period but it will not normally be referred to in any subsequent report to the Licensing Regulatory Committee if a period of 3 years has lapsed since it was issued and no other warning letter was_issued within that period.

For example, if a warning letter was issued in June 2010 and then no further warning letters are issued until August 2013, the warning letter issued in 2010 would not be referred to.

However, if a warning letter was issued in 2010, a further warning letter in 2011 and then a warning letter in 2012, all 3 warning letters would be referred to in any subsequent report to the Licensing Regulatory Committee to show a pattern of behaviour. If a person uses previous good character as a defence before the Committee, and refers to an earlier period during which one or more warning letters had been issued but omitted from the report, those warning letters would then be disclosed to Members for their consideration."

- 2.2 The above wording reflects the current practice with regard to warnings issued by officers, and there is no reason why it should not be included in the Enforcement Policy, although it might be clearer if it were to form a new paragraph 7, with subsequent paragraphs in the Policy being renumbered. As the wording is based on advice given in 2012, it might also be clearer to update the years used in the text for example June 2013, August 2016 etc.
- 2.3 However, it is noted that, whilst the wording is based on that in paragraph 1.8 of the October report, the words "unless there are exceptional circumstances" have been omitted from the end of the proposed first paragraph as set out above. Officers would recommend that those words be included to ensure that, where appropriate, all relevant information can be presented to Members to determine whether a driver or operator is a fit and proper person to continue to hold a licence. This reflects the advice recently given to licensing authorities by Baroness Kramer, Minister of State for Transport: "In the interests of public safety and the reputation of the licensed trade, I encourage you to use all the tools available to ensure that all licensed drivers have undergone a thorough vetting process, their conduct is monitored once licensing decisions."
- 2.4 As worded, the reference to warning letters would cover letters issued by officers, and also letters issued following consideration of a matter by the Committee where the licence has not been suspended or revoked, but nonetheless a warning has been given by the Committee. Members are

asked to consider whether they wish the three year restriction to apply to both.

- 2.5 The second proposal was that "A suspected offender in receipt of a warning letter shall have the right to request within 21 days of receipt of the warning letter, an appeal hearing before the Licensing Regulatory Committee to ask for the warning letter to be withdrawn."
- 2.6 If members were to consider an appeal against a warning issued by an officer, this would make the process for taxi licensing different from that which applies to warnings across the whole range of the Council's enforcement functions. For example for planning, the Planning and Highways Regulatory Committee has no involvement with warnings, and in areas such as food safety, health and safety, and benefit fraud, which are executive functions, there is no member involvement with informal (or indeed formal) enforcement action. The current practice reflects that in local authority enforcement generally.
- 2.7 On average, licensing officers issue about three warning letters to hackney carriage and private hire drivers and private hire operators each month, although this figure may be exceeded on occasions, especially if at any time there is a significant enforcement issue in a particular location. As Members will be aware, many of the Committee's meetings are already lengthy, and to deal with an appeal would require thorough consideration of any evidence. Even two or three appeals at each meeting would increase the workload of the Committee significantly, and it is possible that additional meetings would be required. There would be consequent increased demands on staff resources in terms of preparing reports, publishing agendas, and attending meetings and preparing minutes. This would mean that there would be less time for staff to undertake other responsibilities. Further, time spent on the supervision of drivers is, by law, not recoverable through the licence fees and so the additional cost in staff resources could not be re-charged through the licence fees but would have to be borne by the council taxpayers.
- 2.8 Many warning are issued following complaints from members of the public. It is likely that the majority of these complainants would not be willing to attend Committee. Indeed that is sometimes why a matter is dealt with by way of warning only. Without the complainant being present to give evidence about the incident that led to the warning, it is more likely, if hearing only from the licence holder complained of, that the Committee would be minded to allow an appeal against a warning, and officers are concerned that this would mean that members of the public would lose confidence in the system.
- 2.9 Officers would also have concerns that if the Committee considered an appeal against a warning, and the driver/operator subsequently appeared before the Committee for another reason, any decision on that occasion might be open to legal challenge on the grounds that members had taken account of the earlier appeal or had not considered the second matter with an open mind.
- 2.10 For all these reasons, officers would recommend that this second proposal should not be taken forward. Should the Committee decide otherwise, the Chief Executive has indicated that he will refer the matter to full Council because any such decision would make the taxi licensing enforcement procedures different from those adopted throughout the rest of the Council.

3.0 Conclusion

3.1 Officers would support the first proposal, with the clarification referred to in paragraph 2.3 above, and as set out in recommendation 1. However, for the reasons set out in the report, officers would advise against the second proposal.

CONCLUSION OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT (including Health & Safety, Equality & Diversity, Human Rights, Community Safety, Sustainability and Rural Proofing)

The purpose of licensing is to protect public safety, and it is important therefore that when a decision is taken under the "fit and proper person" test, all relevant information is available to the decision maker. Under the current enforcement policy, decisions to suspend or revoke licences are taken by the Committee and there is a right of appeal to the Magistrates. This complies with human rights legislation.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

The legal implications are set out in the report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

As set out in the report for the second proposal, the referral to the Committee of appeals against warnings would place an additional burden on officer time through report writing, agenda preparation, minute writing and the servicing of meetings. The input required for each report and hearing would vary, and so it is impossible to quantify the notional cost. As this would be met from existing staff resources there would be no direct financial cost as such, but, rather, less time for officers to undertake other duties, and the cost of officer time could not be recharged through the licence fees because it would generally relate to driver enforcement.

OTHER RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

Human Resources: None

Information Services: None

Property: None

Open Spaces: None

SECTION 151 OFFICER'S COMMENTS

The Section 151 Officer has been consulted and has no further comments.

MONITORING OFFICER'S COMMENTS

The report has been prepared by the Monitoring Officer in her capacity as Chief Officer (Governance).

BACKGROUND PAPERS	Contact Officer: Mrs S Taylor
	Telephone: 01524 582025
None	E-mail: STaylor@lancaster.gov.uk
	Ref:

Agenda Item 7

Page 6 Page 18

Appendix 1.

LICENSING REGULATORY COMMITTEE

The Status and Implications of a Written Warning – Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Driver and Private Hire Operator 15th October 2015

Report of Licensing Manager

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To inform members of the implication and status of a written warning issued to a hackney carriage or private hire driver or private hire operator.

The report is public

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee is requested to note the report.

- 1.0 Introduction
- 1.1 This report has been prepared following a request from some members of LRC as a result of a complaint made by a hackney carriage driver at the recent taxi surgery.
- 1.2 Members asked that a report be prepared setting out the status and implications of warnings issued to hackney carriage and private hire drivers and private hire operators.
- 1.3 Licensing, regulatory and enforcement functions exist to protect the general public from harm across areas ranging from food safety to houses in multiple occupation, to licensed premises for entertainment. Safety is one of the principles of licensing which informs legislation. The safety of the public should be the uppermost concern of any licensing and enforcement regime: when determining policy, setting standards and deciding how they will be enforced. This is nowhere more important than in taxi licensing where sometimes vulnerable people are unaccompanied in a car with a stranger.
- 1.4 For the purpose of ensuring that enforcement is carried out in a transparent and consistent manner this Committee has adopted an enforcement policy, a copy of which is attached at Appendix 1 to this report.
- 1.5 The enforcement policy quite clearly sets out the options available to an authorised officer and the issuing of a warning letter is set out in the policy under paragraph 6 and, as indicated in the policy, this is considered to be informal action and at the lower end of the options available.

Page 19

1.6 The policy sets out that the circumstances in which informal action may be appropriate including:-

a) Where it is considered that informal action will achieve compliance based on the offender's past history.

b) Where confidence in the management of the licensed activity or the licensee is high.c) Where the consequences of non-compliance will not pose a significant risk to the physical, financial or emotional well-being of the public, or is of a minor technical nature.d) Where it is considered that informal action will be more effective than formal.

- 1.7 The use of warning letters is common across all the Council's regulatory functions, for example environmental health, planning and housing, as well as licensing, and indeed across all local authorities.
- 1.8 In March 2012, the following advice was given in a licensing newsletter: "Under the current procedure, a warning will remain on your file for an indefinite period; however it will not normally be referred to in any subsequent report to the Licensing Regulatory Committee if a period of 3 years has lapsed since it was issued and no other warning was issued within that period, unless there are exceptional circumstances. For example if a warning was issued in June 2010 and then no further warnings are issued until August 2013, the warning issued in 2010 would not be referred to. However, if a warning was issued in 2010, a further warning in 2011 and then a warning in 2012, all 3 warnings would be referred to in any subsequent report to the Licensing Regulatory Committee to show a pattern of behaviour. If a person uses previous good character as a defence before the Committee, and refers to an earlier period during which warnings had been issued but omitted from the report, those warnings would then be disclosed to members for their consideration" This procedure is still adhered to.
- 1.9 It is appropriate that relevant warnings and for that matter, any other relevant information available should be considered by members when making a decision in relation to whether a person is a fit and proper person to be granted or to continue to hold a licence. Case law has prescribed that an incident cannot be looked at in isolation, as the "fit and proper person" test is multi-faceted. In exercising their function of determining whether or not to revoke or suspend a licence, Members should consider what weight they should attach to any previous warnings or any additional information. Any driver or operator aggrieved by any decision made by the Licensing Regulatory Committee to suspend or revoke a licence would have a right to appeal to the magistrate's court.
- 1.10 It should be noted that the Rotherham report criticised licensing officers for not submitting previous relevant complaints and warnings to the panel before decisions were made in relation to whether a driver was a fit and proper person to continue to hold a licence.

Conclusion

- 2.1 The 'fit and proper person' test is multi-faceted. It includes things like moral character, risk of bad behaviour, health, driving ability, appreciation of the duties and obligations that the law and the council licence conditions impose.
- 2.2 Case law and more recently the Rotherham report has prescribed that all information available should be considered by members when determining whether a driver is a fit and proper person to continue to hold a driver's licence.
- 2.3 Warning letters are issued by officers in line with the Council's enforcement policy and are considered to be informal action. They are held on file and would only be referred to in accordance with paragraph 1.8 above should any further infringements occur.
- 2.4 The report is for noting.

Page 20

CONCLUSION OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT (including Diversity, Human Rights, Community Safety, Sustainability and Rural Proofing)

It is important that effective enforcement is carried out in line with the enforcement policy to ensure that members of the public including those that are vulnerable are protected and to ensure that members of the public can have confidence in the licensing regime and the Council.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implication

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

The Council is responsible for ensuring that the legislation in relation to hackney carriage and private hire licensing is enforced.

None	Contact Officer: Wendy Peck Telephone: 01524 582317
	E-mail: wpeck@lancaster.gov.uk Ref: WP

LICENSING REGULATORY COMMITTEE

Resolved:

That the application to renew a Sex Shop Licence in respect of Sin-Til-Late, Morecambe be renewed as applied for.

42 THE STATUS AND IMPLICATIONS OF A WRITTEN WARNING - HACKNEY CARRIAGE AND PRIVATE HIRE DRIVER AND PRIVATE HIRE OPERATOR

•

The Committee received the report of the Licensing Manager to inform Members of the implication and status of a written warning issued to a hackney carriage or private hire driver or private hire operator. The report had been prepared following a request from some members of the Committee as a result of complaint made by a hackney carriage driver at the recent taxi surgery.

It was reported that the Committee had adopted an enforcement policy to ensure that enforcement was carried out in a transparent and consistent manner.

Members were advised that the issuing of a warning letter was considered to be informal action and at the lower end of the options available. The use of warning letters was common across all the Council's regulatory functions. The advice given in a licensing newsletter for the trade regarding the implications of a warning letter was set out in the report.

It was reported that case law, and more recently the Rotherham report, had prescribed that all information available should be considered by Members when determining whether a driver was a fit and proper person to continue to hold a driver's licence. Any driver or operator aggrieved by a decision of the Licensing Regulatory Committee had a right of appeal to the Magistrates' Court.

It was proposed by Councillor Mace and seconded by Councillor Edwards:

"That the following (based on the content of paragraph 1.8 in the report) be appended to paragraph 6.1(c) of the Licensing Enforcement Policy:

"Under the current procedure, A warning letter will remain on file for an indefinite period; however-but it will not normally be referred to in any subsequent report to the Licensing Regulatory Committee if a period of 3 years has lapsed since it was issued and no other warning letter was issued within that period. unless there are exceptional circumstances.

For example, if a warning letter was issued in June 2010 and then no further warning letters are issued until August 2013, the warning letter issued in 2010 would not be referred to.

However, if a warning letter was issued in 2010, a further warning letter in 2011 and then a warning letter in 2012, all 3 warning letters would be referred to in any subsequent report to the Licensing Regulatory Committee to show a pattern of behaviour. If a person uses previous good character as a defence before the Committee, and refers to an earlier period during which one or more warnings letters had been issued but omitted from the report, those warning letters would then be disclosed to Members for their consideration."

LICENSING REGULATORY COMMITTEE

It was then proposed by Councillor Gardiner and seconded by Councillor Metcalfe that the following words be additionally appended to paragraph 6.1(c) of the Licensing Enforcement Policy:

"A suspected offender in receipt of a warning letter shall have the right to request within 21 days of receipt of the warning letter, an appeal hearing before the Licensing Regulatory Committee to ask for the warning letter to be withdrawn."

Officers advised that both proposals should be deferred and should be the subject of a report to the following meeting of the Committee to allow officers to give due consideration to them.

Councillor Mace accepted the advice of officers and withdrew his proposal.

Councillors Gardiner and Metcalfe requested that Members vote on whether to consider Councillor Gardiner's proposal at the meeting. Upon being put to the vote, 3 Members voted in favour of considering the proposal at the meeting and 5 against, with 1 abstention, whereupon the Chairman declared the proposal to be lost.

Councillor Mace then proposed that a report be presented to the next meeting of the Licensing Regulatory Committee that considered the implications of the two proposals tabled by himself and Councillor Gardiner. The proposal was seconded by Councillor Edwards.

Upon being put to the vote, Members voted unanimously in favour of the proposition, whereupon the Chairman declared the proposal to be carried.

Resolved:

That a report be presented to the next meeting of the Licensing Regulatory Committee that considers the implications of the two proposals tabled by Councillors Mace and Gardiner.

Councillor Redfern left the meeting at this point.

43 MULTI-AGENCY VEHICLE INSPECTION OPERATION

The Committee received the report of the Licensing Manager to inform Members of the outcomes of a recent multi-agency vehicle inspection operation.

Members were advised that licensing officers had carried out a multi-agency vehicle inspection operation with officers from the Lancashire Constabulary and the Vehicle and Operators Services Agency (VOSA) on 15th and 16th September 2015 at Salt Ayre Leisure Centre.

During the two days, 259 vehicles had been inspected. Of the 259 vehicles inspected, 32 defect notices had been issued. Seven of those had been issued in relation to hackney carriage vehicles and 25 in relation to private hire vehicles. In addition, seven vehicles had been suspended with immediate effect. Two of the vehicles had been hackney carriage vehicles and the other five were private hire vehicles.



LANCASTER CITY COUNCIL

GOVERNANCE - LICENSING

LICENSING ENFORCEMENT POLICY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Background	Page 3
2.	Policy Statement	Page 3
3.	Principles of Enforcement	Page 3
4.	Statutory obligations	Page 4
5.	Enforcement options	Page 4
6.	Informal action	Page 5
7.	Statutory action and decision making processes	Page 5
8.	Formal cautions	Page 6
9.	Prosecution	Page 7
10.	Legislation	Page 8
Appen	dix 1 – Authority for Officers to act	Page 9
Appen	dix 2 – Criteria for deciding whether to prosecute or issue a formal caution	Page 10
Appen	dix 3 – Reference to Legislation	Page 11

LANCASTER CITY COUNCIL

LICENSING ENFORCEMENT POLICY

1 BACKGROUND

1.1 The purpose of this document is to set out the general principles that the Council's Licensing Service will apply when undertaking licensing enforcement work. Enforcement does not only mean deciding whether to prosecute an alleged offender. Rather, it includes a wide range of issues including communicating effectively, acting fairly and acting consistently when using statutory enforcement powers. It includes undertaking inspections of licensed premises, vehicles and activities and giving advice to enable licensees to meet minimum legal standards as well as higher standards and good practice.

2 POLICY STATEMENT

- 2.1 This Policy was written having regard to the Government's "concordat on Good Enforcement" which Lancaster City Council formally adopted. Officers will therefore have regard to and implement the 4 principles of enforcement set out in the Policy.
- 2.2 Where there is specific guidance on enforcement action, for example, statutory guidance, or Codes of Practice and guidance issued for example by the Better Regulation Delivery Office or the Local Government Association (formerly Local Authorities Co-ordinators of Regulatory Services (LACORS)), these will be followed.
- 2.3 The Council fully acknowledges and endorses the rights of individuals and will ensure that all enforcement action is taken in strict accordance with the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984, the Criminal Procedures and Investigation Act 1996, the Human Rights Act 1998, the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 and other relevant legislation and guidance.
- 2.4 The Council recognises that the particular interests of different consumers within the District will need to be taken account of to ensure that legislation is enforced fairly. Interpreters will be used where there is difficulty in understanding the English language. Where possible, translated advisory leaflets will be made available. Any visits that are required out of hours will be undertaken as necessary.
- 2.5 All enforcement action will be based on risk to health and safety and in accordance with this policy. Any departure from this policy will be justified to the Legal Services Manager or the Licensing Manager. The reasons for any departure will be fully documented and retained on the relevant file.

3 PRINCIPLES OF ENFORCEMENT

3.1 The Council believes in firm but fair enforcement of licensing law and the relevant byelaws or licence conditions. Underlying this belief are the principles of **proportionality** in applying the law and securing compliance, **consistency** of approach, **transparency** about how the Licensing Service operates and what those regulated may expect from the Service and **targeting** of enforcement action.

3.2 **PROPORTIONALITY**

Proportionality means relating enforcement action to the risks. Those whom the law protects and those on whom it places duties (duty holders) expect that action taken by enforcement authorities to achieve compliance should be proportionate to any risks to public health and safety and the seriousness of any breach.

3.3 CONSISTENCY

Consistency of approach does not mean uniformity. It means taking a similar approach in similar circumstances to achieve similar ends. There is a right to expect consistency from an enforcing authority whether they are: responding to requests for service, issuing advice, using statutory notices, or deciding to prosecute.

3.4 TRANSPARENCY

Transparency means helping duty holders and individuals to understand what is expected of them and what they should expect from the enforcing authorities. It also means making it clear to duty holders and individuals, not only what they have to do, but also, where relevant, what they do not. This means distinguishing between statutory requirements and recommendations or advice that is desirable, but not compulsory.

3.5 **TARGETING**

Targeting means making sure that enforcement is targeted primarily on those situations where activities give rise to the most serious risks, or where the hazards are least well controlled. The Licensing Service will ensure that action is focused on the duty holders who are responsible for the risk and who are best placed to control it.

4 STATUTORY OBLIGATIONS

- 4.1 The Licensing service administers a wide range of licences and permits which may be mandatory, where the local authority must license particular activities, or adoptive where the Council has elected to control certain businesses, activities or individuals through the issue of licences and the imposition of licence conditions.
- 4.2 The main purpose of licensing enforcement is to:
 - a) Secure the health, safety and welfare of members of the public who either make use of the licensed activity or who are affected by it in some way.
 - b) Deal immediately with serious risks.
 - c) Promote and achieve sustained compliance with the law.

5 ENFORCEMENT OPTIONS

- 5.1 External agencies including Lancashire Police, Lancashire Fire and Rescue Service, Lancashire County Council also have an interest in the enforcement of licensing legislation. Where there is a shared enforcement role, the Council's Licensing service will liaise with the appropriate body to ensure effective co-ordination. Protocols will be established between the enforcement agencies to ensure clarity in their respective roles.
- 5.2 Enforcement officers must seek to secure compliance with the law. Most of the time this will be conducted informally, by offering information, advice and support, both verbally and in writing. They may also use formal mechanisms, as set out in law,

including the service of notices, suspension of vehicle licences, or ultimately prosecution.

- 5.3 In arriving at a decision, the Licensing Service will consider:
 - a) The seriousness of the offence;
 - b) The individual or duty holder's past history;
 - c) Confidence in management;
 - d) The requirements of the legislation;
 - e) The consequences of non-compliance; and
 - f) The likely effectiveness of the various enforcement options.
- 5.4 Where enforcement is being considered, the Licensing service can choose one or more of the following options:
 - a) Take no action;
 - b) Take informal action;
 - c) Take statutory action;
 - d) Use formal cautions;
 - e) Prosecution.

6 INFORMAL ACTION

- 6.1 Informal action will include the following:
 - a) offering advice
 - b) verbal warnings and requests for action
 - c) the use of warning letters setting out the potential consequences of any future non-compliance.
- 6.2 The circumstances in which informal action may be appropriate include:
 - a) Where it is considered that informal action will achieve compliance based on the offender's past history.
 - b) Where confidence in the management of the licensed activity or the licensee is high.
 - c) Where the consequences of non-compliance will not pose a significant risk to the physical, financial or emotional well-being of the public, or is of a minor technical nature.
 - d) Where it is considered that informal action will be more effective than formal action.

7 STATUTORY ACTION AND DECISION MAKING PROCESESS

- 7.1 Such action may involve the suspension, revocation or the refusal to grant or vary a licence.
- 7.2 In certain circumstances, authority to suspend the licence of hackney carriage/private hire vehicles, hackney carriage/private hire drivers and private hire operators may be delegated to authorised officers (See Appendix 1). The criteria for the suspension of such licences is as follows:
 - a) Hackney Carriage/Private Hire Vehicles

A licensed hackney carriage or private hire vehicle may be suspended if an authorised officer is not satisfied as to the vehicle's fitness (Sections 60 & 68 Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976).

b) Hackney Carriage/Private Hire Drivers

A licence may be suspended by an authorised officer, if since the grant of a licence the driver has been convicted of an offence involving dishonesty, indecency or violence, or for any other reasonable cause (Section 61, Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976). In these circumstances, consideration will also be given whether the interests of public safety require the suspension to take immediate effect.

c) Private Hire Operators

A licence may be suspended by an authorised officer, in the event of there being any offence under, or non-compliance with the private hire legislation, or for any other reasonable cause. (Section 62 Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976)

- 7.3 However, in the majority of cases involving drivers' and operators' licences any consideration to suspend, revoke or refuse to grant/renew a licence will normally be dealt with by the Council's Licensing Regulatory Committee under established procedures. Similarly, the Licensing Act Sub-Committees and Gambling Act Sub-Committees will normally deal with contentious matters associated with premises licences issued under the Licensing Act 2003 and the Gambling Act 2005 respectively.
- 7.4 Any person aggrieved by a decision taken to suspend, revoke or refuse to grant/vary a licence has a right of appeal to the Magistrates' Court.

8 FORMAL CAUTIONS

- 8.1 Enforcement Officers will consider issuing a formal caution as an alternative to prosecution. Where there is a criminal offence, but the public interest does not require a prosecution, a formal caution may be an appropriate course of action. The Ministry of Justice Guidance on Simple Cautions for Adult Offenders (November 2013) states that the purpose of a formal caution is to:
 - a) Offer a proportionate response to low level offending where the offender has admitted the offence;
 - b) Deliver swift, simple and effective justice that carries a deterrent effect;
 c) Record an individual's criminal conduct for possible reference in future criminal proceedings or in criminal record or other similar checks;
 - d) Reduce the likelihood of re-offending
 - e) Increase the amount of time officers spend dealing with more serious crime and reduce the amount of time completing paperwork and attending court, whilst simultaneously reducing the burden on the courts
- 8.2 The use of formal cautions will be in accordance with the Ministry of Justice Guidance referred to above.. The following conditions must be fulfilled before a caution is administered:
 - a) There must be evidence of the suspected offender's guilt sufficient to give a realistic prospect of a conviction;
 - b) The suspected offender must admit the offence; and

- c) The suspected offender must understand the significance of a formal caution and give an informed consent to being cautioned.
- 8.3 Before proceeding with a formal caution, the enforcement officer must discuss the proposed action with their line manager. Where a formal caution is refused, the officer must re-consider all the evidence, which may result in a prosecution or other action being taken. Where formal cautions are accepted, they must be registered with Legal Services.

9 **PROSECUTION**

- 9.1 The following circumstances may warrant prosecution:
 - a) The offence involves a flagrant breach of the law such that public health, safety or well being is or has been put at risk; or
 - b) The offence involves a failure by the offender to correct an identified serious potential risk, having been given a reasonable opportunity to comply with the lawful requirements of an authorised officer; or
 - c) The offence involves a failure to comply in full or in part with the requirements of a statutory notice; or
 - d) There is a history of similar offences on the part of the alleged offender; or
 - e) The obstruction or assault of an authorised officer; or
 - f) False or misleading information is provided to an authorised officer.
- 9.2 The officer must be satisfied, before a prosecution proceeds, that there is relevant, admissible, substantial and reliable evidence that the offence was committed by the accused. There must be a realistic prospect of conviction. A bare prima facie case is not enough. If there is insufficient evidence, other formal action such as a formal caution will not be an alternative.
- 9.3 The officer and their manager must decide whether it is in the public interest to undertake a prosecution, following the guidance in the current Code for Crown Prosecutors. The 2013 edition of the Code indicates that prosecutors should consider each of the following questions:
 - a) How serious is the offence committed?
 - b) What is the level of culpability of the suspect?
 - c) What are the circumstances of and harm caused to the victim?
 - d) Was the suspect under the age of 18 at the time of the offence?
 - e) What is the impact on the community?
 - f) Is prosecution a proportionate response?
 - g) Do sources of information need protecting?

The Code provides that these questions are not exhaustive, and that not all the questions may be relevant in every case. The weight to be attached to each of the questions, and the factors identified, will also vary according to the facts and merits of each case.

- 9.4 Once a decision to prosecute has been made, the procedure should be implemented without undue delay. The requirements of PACE and CPIA will be adhered to.
- 9.5 The criterion for deciding whether to prosecute or issue a formal caution is shown at Appendix 2.

10 LEGISLATION

10.1 The legislation under which authorised officers have the authority to act is shown at Appendix 3.

APPENDIX 1

AUTHORITY FOR OFFICERS TO ACT

DECISION MAKING AREA	OFFICERS AUTHORISED TO ACT	OFFICERS WHO MUST BE CONSULTED FOR AUTHORITY TO ACT
Informal action and formal letters	Chief Officer (Governance) Legal Services Manager Licensing Manager	
	Licensing Enforcement Officer	
Statutory Action		
a) Suspension of hackney carriage/private hire vehicle licence	Chief Officer (Governance) Legal Services Manager Licensing Manager Licensing Enforcement Officer	Licensing Manager
b) Suspension of hackney carriage/private hire drivers	Chief Officer (Governance) Legal Services Manager Licensing Manager	Chief Officer (Governance) or Legal Services Manager
licence	Chief Officer (Governance) Legal Services Manager Licensing Manager	Chief Officer (Governance)
c) Suspension of private hire operators licence		or Legal Services Manager
Formal Caution	Chief Officer (Governance)	Chief Officer (Governance)
	Legal Services Manager Licensing Manager	or Legal Services Manager
Prosecution	Chief Officer (Governance) Legal Services Manager	Chief Officer (Governance) or Legal Services Manager

APPENDIX 2

DECISION WHETHER TO PROSECUTE OR ISSUE A FORMAL CAUTION

CRITERION	PROSECUTE	OFFER CAUTION
Is the offence serious?	Yes	No
Is the offender old or infirm?	No	Yes
Has the offender a previous history of offending?	Yes	No/Unknown
Is the offending willing to prevent a recurrence of the problem?	No	Yes
Would a prosecution be in the public interest?	Yes	No
Is the case likely to establish a legal precedent?	Yes	No
Has the offender offered a reasonable explanation?	No	Yes
TOTAL		

Note:

Ring the appropriate response to each criterion and then total the number of rings in each column.

The decision will be based on the total number of rings.

Recommendation of Investigating Officer:	Formal Caution	Prosecution*
Signed:		Date:
Decision of Licensing Manager :	Agree	Disagree*
Decision of Licensing Manager .	Agree	Disagree
Signed:		Date:
Decision of Legal Services Manager	Agree	Disagree*
Signed:		Date:
*Delete as appropriate		

APPENDIX 3

RELEVANT LEGISLATION

Animal Boarding Establishments Act 1963 Breeding of Dogs Acts 1973 and 1991 Breeding and Sale of Dogs (Welfare) Act 1999 Caravan Sites and Control of Development Act 1960 Dangerous Wild Animals Act 1976 Gambling Act 2005 Game Act 1831

Game Licences Act 1860 Health Act 2006 House to House Collections Act 1939 Hypnotism Act 1952 Licensing Act 2003 Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1982

Pet Animals Act 1951 Pet Animals (Amendment) Act 1983 Police, Factories etc (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1916 Public Health Act 1936 Riding Establishments Acts 1964 and 1970 Scrap Metal Dealers Act 2013 Town Police Clauses Act 1847 Transport Act 1985 Vehicles (Crime) Act 2001 Zoo Licensing Act 1981

Please note that the above list is not exhaustive and may be amended from time to time.

LICENSING REGULATORY COMMITTEE

Review of Arrangements for Licensing Surgeries 26th November 2015

Report of the Chief Executive

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To enable Members to review the arrangements for Licensing Surgeries.

This report is public

RECOMMENDATIONS

- (1) That the terms of reference of the surgery be clarified so that it provides an efficient and effective mechanism for individual hackney carriage and private hire licence holders to raise issues, which may then be referred for further consideration by officers, the Committee, or Cabinet, as appropriate.
- (2) That future surgeries be attended by the Chairman and the Vice Chairman, supported by officers.

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 The Licensing Surgeries were established in November 2014 to replace the former Taxi Forum. A copy of the report considered by the Committee on the 13th November 2014 is attached at Appendix 1.
- 1.2 The Committee resolved that "In place of the Taxi Forum, a Local Forum be established, held by the Chairman, supported by officers, and attended by any members of the Licensing Regulatory Committee who wish to attend, to enable individual members of the trade to raise issues of concern, on a confidential basis if they so wish, but with any significant issue raised at the Forum being the subject of a report by the Committee and, if appropriate, the subject of written consultation with all proprietors, with meetings alternating between Lancaster and Morecambe Town Halls", and "That the proposal be reviewed in June 2015."
- 1.3 Since November 2014, the Local Forum has been referred to as a "surgery", and three surgeries have been held, in December, March and September, two at Morecambe Town Hall and one at Lancaster Town Hall.
- 1.4 It did not seem appropriate to ask the Committee to review the arrangements immediately following the election in June. However, a review is now overdue.
- 1.5 The surgeries, like the Forum they replaced, were intended to cover issues relating to the taxi licensing functions of the Committee. They were designed

to encourage individuals, who perhaps would not feel comfortable speaking at a larger or more formal meeting, to put forward new issues for consideration. However, at the most recent surgery in particular, the issues raised were predominantly operational matters which are for officers to deal with, rather than licensing matters which would be for member consideration. As had happened at the Forum meetings, many of the issues raised were ones which had been raised and considered on previous occasions in the past.

- 1.6 The intended purpose of the surgeries was to enable informal discussion between the trade and members, and specifically the Chairman. Operational matters can and should be raised by the trade with the Licensing Manager on a day to day basis, and if an issue remains outstanding, it should be referred to the Chief Officer (Governance). It is not the Committee's or indeed the surgery's role, to deal with such issues. Experience has shown that the current operation of the surgeries tends to blur the boundaries between officer and member responsibilities, and on occasions issues have been raised even though officers have already advised that member consideration is not appropriate as the issue is operational.
- 1.7 The fact that some, but not all, members of the Committee (and on occasions some substitutes) attend the surgeries means that any views expressed by members at the surgery may be taken by the trade to be the views of the whole Committee, whereas that may not be the case. There is thus a blurring between the views of individual members and the Committee as a whole. Any views expressed by members at a surgery can only be informal, being based solely on what is presented at the surgery, rather than on a detailed report.
- 1.8 Officers recommend therefore, that in reviewing any future arrangements, consideration should be given to the terms of reference of the surgery and which members should attend.

2.0 Proposal Details

- 2.1 It is recommended that the remit of the surgery should be to consider general, policy issues relating to the licensing of hackney carriage and private hire vehicles. It should be made clear that it is not within the remit of the surgery to consider individual cases or operational matters, and that any issues raised will be referred to officers, the Committee or Cabinet as appropriate.
- 2.2 It is suggested that the surgery would be more focused and effective if it were to be held by the Chairman alone, or by the Chairman and the Vice-Chairman with officer support. This would make a clear distinction between the surgery and the formal Committee. Once an issue were raised at the surgery, the Chairman could then, if appropriate, request officers to prepare a report for the Committee, provided that the issue was properly a matter for consideration by the Committee. This would ensure that all the relevant information could be provided to enable the Committee to consider the matter and make any necessary decision.
- 2.3 In terms of officer support in future, each surgery will be supported by one or two Chief Officers of the Council, and licensing officers will not be in attendance. This will emphasise that it is not the role of the surgery to consider operational matters or to provide immediate explanations or responses, but rather to ensure that issues raised are followed up and referred to the appropriate decision-maker.
- 2.4 The advice of officers is that, with the above changes, the role of the surgeries would be clearer, and their operation more effective. Members might also wish to consider whether an appointment system for each surgery should be introduced, with a maximum of two individuals attending each

appointment.

3.0 Options and Options Analysis (including risk assessment)

3.1 The Committee is asked to review the surgery arrangements. Officers are of the view that the surgery in its current format is not the most efficient and effective means of operation, and would recommend the changes as set out above.

4.0 Conclusion

4.1 The Committee is asked to review the arrangements for future surgeries.

CONCLUSION OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT

(including Health & Safety, Equality & Diversity, Human Rights, Community Safety, Sustainability and Rural Proofing)

None directly arising from this report.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

None directly arising from this report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

None directly arising from this report

OTHER RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

Human Resources: None

Information Services: None

Property: None

Open Spaces: None

SECTION 151 OFFICER'S COMMENTS

The Section 151 officer has been consulted and has no further comments.

MONITORING OFFICER'S COMMENTS

The Monitoring Officer has assisted with the preparation of the report in her capacity as Chief Officer (Governance).

BACKGROUND PAPERS	Contact Officer: Mrs S Taylor
	Telephone: 01524 582025
None	E-mail: STaylor@lancaster.gov.uk
	Ref:

Appendix 1

Agenda Item 10

Page 24

LICENSING REGULATORY COMMITTEE

Taxi Forum 13th November 2014

Report of the Chief Officer (Governance)

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To enable the Committee to consider the future of the Taxi Forum and its possible replacement by a regular "surgery" to be held by the Chairman of the Committee, supported by officers as appropriate.

This report is public

RECOMMENDATION

(1) That the Taxi Forum be disestablished, and that regular "surgeries" be arranged where the Chairman, supported by officers, as appropriate, is available to meet with individual members of the trade to discuss any issues of concern.

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 Since 2000, it has been the practice to hold Taxi Forum meetings to enable members of the Licensing Regulatory Committee (formerly the Licensing Committee) to consult with hackney carriage and private hire vehicle proprietors on various issues affecting vehicles and drivers that are within the terms of reference of the Committee.
- 1.2 Prior to January 2009, the meetings were six monthly, and separate forum meetings were held with hackney carriage proprietors and private hire proprietors. In January 2009, the Committee reviewed the arrangements for the Forum meetings, and, in view of low attendances, resolved that the separate Forums be amalgamated into one Forum for hackney carriage and private hire proprietors, and that the Forum meet annually in April.
- 1.3 The arrangements were further reviewed in February 2012, when the meetings reverted to six monthly. In July 2013 the Committee resolved that the Forum should meet quarterly. However, the meetings in February and May 2014 were cancelled for lack of business.
- 1.4 Generally, attendance at the Forum meetings has not been representative of the trade as a whole, and the same issues tend to be raised again and again, and often relate to individual cases which are not appropriate for discussion in a Forum. Members of the Committee have tended to say very little, even though the reason for having the Forum is to enable an exchange of views between the trade and elected members of the Committee.

Page 27 Page 25

2.0 Proposal Details

- 2.1 Following discussions with the Chairman, it has been suggested that a more effective mechanism for consultation with the trade would be to hold regular "surgery" type sessions throughout the district, where the Chairman would be available, supported by the appropriate officers, to meet with any individual member of the trade who wished to raise a particular issue within the terms of reference of the Committee.
- 2.2 It is felt that such an arrangement would facilitate more meaningful consultation and discussion, and would assist some members of the trade who may be more comfortable raising issues on a one to one basis than speaking in a larger forum meeting.
- 2.3 Where appropriate, any significant issue raised at a "surgery" could be the subject of a report to the Committee, and again, if appropriate, could be the subject of full written consultation with all proprietors.
- 2.4 If the Committee approves the proposal, the arrangements could be reviewed following the 2015 election.

3.0 Details of Consultation

3.1 The proposal was first raised with the Chairman, and it is considered that it provides a suitable mechanism for meaningful discussion between the Chairman as the representative of the Committee, and members of the trade.

4.0 Options and Options Analysis (including risk assessment)

4.1 The options open to the Committee are to introduce the proposed "surgery" type arrangement to replace the Taxi Forum, to develop some other form of mechanism for consultation between members of the Committee and the trade, or to retain the Taxi Forum. A further review could be carried out in June 2015.

5.0 Conclusion

5.1 The views of the Committee are sought.

CONCLUSION OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT (including Health & Safety, Equality & Diversity, Human Rights, Community Safety, Sustainability and Rural Proofing)

None directly arising from this report.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no direct legal implications arising from this report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

If a new "surgery" type arrangement were introduced to replace the Taxi Forum, there would be no significant change to the officer and member resources required.

Page 26

OTHER RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS	
Human Resources: None	
Information Services: None	
Property: None	
Open Spaces: None	
SECTION 151 OFFICER'S COMMENTS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The Section 151 Officer has been consulted a	nd has no further comments.
MONITORING OFFICER'S COMMENTS	
The report has been prepared by the Monite (Governance)	oring Officer in her capacity as Chief Officer
BACKGROUND PAPERS	Contact Officer: Mrs S Taylor
None	Telephone: 01524 582025 E-mail: STaylor@lancaster.gov.uk Ref:

LICENSING REGULATORY COMMITTEE

Child Sexual Exploitation (CSE) Training for Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Drivers 26th November 2015

Report of Licensing Manager

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To seek approval from members for the proposals set out in the report in relation to the delivery of CSE training to all members of the hackney carriage and private hire trade.

To approve an amendment to the Rules, Regulations and Procedures for Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing to include a condition making it a requirement for all holders of hackney carriage and private hire licences (drivers, operators and proprietors) to undergo the CSE training before a licence can be renewed.

This report is public.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- (1) Members are requested to approve the proposals set out in the report in relation to the delivery of CSE training to all hackney carriage and private hire licence holders (drivers, operators and proprietors).
- (2) Members are requested to approve the following addition to the Rules, Regulations and Procedures for Hackney carriage and Private Hire Licensing:

All licence holders, including drivers, proprietors and operators, must undergo CSE training before the first renewal of their licence after the end of January 2016.

1.0 Report

- 1.1 The importance of the role of licensing in safeguarding vulnerable children and adults has been highlighted by recent events in Rotherham, Rochdale and Oxford. Many councils around England and Wales are now reviewing their policies and taking them back to first principles, so they can make best use of the powers they have to protect the public
- 1.2 An example of this work is the compulsory safeguarding training that is being delivered in many authorities for Hackney Carriages and Private Hire licence holders.
- 1.3 CSE has a devastating impact on children, young people and their families. It should be a concern for everyone. CSE is largely a hidden crime, and raising awareness of

this type of abuse is essential to preventing it and stopping it early when it does happen.

- 1.4 Members may recall that at a meeting of this committee held on 3rd September they resolved to authorise the licensing manager in conjunction with the safeguarding officer and the Lancashire Officers Group to develop a suitable CSE training package which can be delivered to hackney carriage and private hire drivers and to report back to this committee at a later date to seek approval of implementation of the training. A copy of the report considered is attached at appendix 1 to this report.
- 1.5 As a result of that resolution officers have been working closely with neighbouring authorities and police officers from the Lancashire Constabulary Public Protection Unit, and a package has now been developed. This will be in the form of a presentation followed by questions which are aimed at encouraging discussion and thought rather than being a pass/fail situation.
- 1.6 It is proposed that all existing licence holders, including operators, proprietors and drivers will be invited to attend one of 5 free training sessions which will be held on one day in January with the support of DCI Tony Baxter of the Lancashire Constabulary Public Protection Unit. Members of the Committee will also be invited to attend. It is further proposed that 2 more free training days are offered, one in February and one in March. Both days will offer 5 sessions for members of the trade to book on to. In total that will be 15 free training sessions that will be offered. The training sessions in February and March will be carried out by licensing officers who have completed training on delivering the sessions.
- 1.7 After the free training sessions have been delivered it is proposed that a cost should be associated with any further training sessions for those who have not taken advantage of the offer of free training. This should encourage a greater uptake of the free training than could ordinarily be the case. The cost will need to be calculated and will be included in the fees report due to be submitted in the New Year.
- 1.8 This offer is more generous than some other neighbouring authorities for example Blackpool, who only intend to deliver one five session day of free training and then charge after that.
- 1.9 It is proposed that new drivers will be given a booklet which is being produced by Lancashire County Council, as part of the application pack and CSE will form part of the knowledge test which is already carried out. This will not require a change of condition.

2.0 Conclusion

2.1 Members are asked to approve the proposals as set out in the report.

CONCLUSION OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT (including Diversity, Human Rights, Community Safety, Sustainability and Rural Proofing)

Councils play a crucial, statutory role in safeguarding children. The introduction of Child Sexual Exploitation training for all hackney carriage and private hire licence holders will help to ensure that the Council is complying with that statutory duty.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications arising from this report at this time. The initial training will be delivered free of charge by officers. A further report will be submitted in relation to any costs to those who have not taken up the offer of free training.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no direct legal implications arising from this report.

BACKGROUND PAPERS	Contact Officer: Wendy Peck
None.	Telephone: 01524 582317
	E-mail: wpeck@lancaster.gov.uk
	Ref: WP

Appendix 1

LICENSING REGULATORY COMMITTEE

CHILD SEXUAL EXPLOITATION (CSE) TRAINING FOR HACKNEY CARRIAGE AND PRIVATE HIRE DRIVERS

3rd September 2015

Report of Licensing Manager

PURPOSE OF REPORT

To seek approval from members for the licensing manager in conjunction with other partners to look at developing a suitable training package in relation to Child Sexual Exploitation (CSE) with a view to delivering the training to hackney carriage and private hire drivers at some time in the future.

This report is public.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Members are requested to authorise the licensing manager in conjunction with the safeguarding officer and the Lancashire officers Group to develop a suitable CSE training package which can be delivered to hackney carriage and private hire drivers and to report back to this committee at a later date to seek approval of implementation of the training.

1.0 Report

- 1.1 The importance of the role of licensing in safeguarding vulnerable children and adults has been highlighted by recent events in Rotherham, Rochdale and Oxford. Many councils around England and Wales are now reviewing their policies and taking them back to first principles, so they can make best use of the powers they have to protect the public
- 1.2 An example of this work is the compulsory safeguarding training that is being delivered in many authorities for the drivers of Hackney Carriages and Private Hire Vehicles.
- 1.3 CSE has a devastating impact on children, young people and their families. It should be a concern for everyone. CSE is largely a hidden crime, and raising awareness of this type of abuse is essential to preventing it and stopping it early when it does happen.

- 1.4 Councils play a crucial, statutory role in safeguarding children, including tackling child sexual exploitation. However, they cannot do it alone. It needs cooperation of the wider community and our partner agencies.
- 1.5 Taxi drivers can be the eyes and ears of the local community. They often carry vulnerable people in their vehicles and it is paramount that they are able to identify any signs of child exploitation and more importantly are aware of how to report their suspicions
- 1.6 For example a taxi driver may be sent to pick up a young girl or girls from a care home and asked to deliver them to a particular hotel. This may happen on a regular basis and may give rise to suspicion. Taxi drivers could notice that underage girls are under the influence of alcohol or drugs and are accompanied by older men. Taxi drivers may already in the past have had concerns about young vulnerable people but may not have known how to deal with the situation.
- 1.7 The statutory responsibilities of local agencies, including councils are set out in the 2009 supplementary guidance on CSE. The 2011 National Action Plan further clarifies these, and also brings together a range of commitments from national and local partners. Statutory requirements from these documents include;
 - Mechanisms should be in place to collect prevalence and monitor cases of CSE
 - Training should include warning signs of CSE, how to report concerns, how to safeguard and how to prevent
- 1.8 Members are now asked to authorise the licensing manager in conjunction with other partners to develop a suitable training package for hackney carriage and private hire drivers which will enable the drivers to identify possible cases of CSE and to be aware of how and who to report any suspicions to.

2.0 Conclusion

2.1 Members are asked to authorise the licensing manager to develop a suitable training package in relation to CSE and to report back to this committee at a later date for authorisation to introduce the training.

CONCLUSION OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT

(including Diversity, Human Rights, Community Safety, Sustainability and Rural Proofing)

Council play a crucial, statutory role in safeguarding children. The introduction of Child Sexual Exploitation training for all hackney carriage and private hire drivers will help to ensure that the Council is complying with that statutory duty.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no financial implications arising from this report at this time. If members authorise officers to look at developing a suitable training package the costs and how it is to be funded will need to be reported back to members at a later date.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS	
There are no direct legal implications arising from this report.	
BACKGROUND PAPERS	Contact Officer: Wendy Peck
None.	Telephone: 01524 582317 E-mail: wpeck@lancaster.gov.uk Ref: WP